Appln. No. 10/700,944 Amendment Reply to Final Office Action dated February 11, 2005 Docket No. 789-39-1

## REMARKS

The foregoing amendments and these remarks are in response to the Final Office At the time of the Office Action, claims 1-7, 9 and 10 were pending. In the Office Action, claims 3, 4 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9 and 10 were allowed. The rejection is set forth in more detail below.

## I. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112 and Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 4 and 7 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 3, 4 and 7 have been cancelled herein. The remaining claims, namely claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are allowed.

## II. Conclusion

Applicant has made every effort to present claims which distinguish over the prior art, and it is believed that all claims are in condition for allowance. Nevertheless, Applicant invites the Examiner to call the undersigned if it is believed that a telephonic interview would expedite the prosecution of the application to an allowance. In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 4-11-05

J. Rodman Steele, Jr. Registration No. 25,931

Mark D. Passler

Registration No. 40,764

AKERMAN SENTERFITT

Post Office Box 3188

West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188

n/1-2-2-

Telephone: (561) 653-5000

Docket No. 789-39-1 (WP229203,1)